

¹ Taking Public Service Delivery in Nigeria Online for Effectiveness ² and Efficiency: The users' Perspective

³ Hassan Afees Olumide¹ and Ishola, Abdulrasaq Ajadi²

⁴ ¹ Obafemi Awolowo University

⁵ *Received: 12 December 2019 Accepted: 31 December 2019 Published: 15 January 2020*

⁶

⁷ **Abstract**

⁸ The ability of a government to ensure an effective and efficient social service delivery is central
⁹ to good governance. Online platform has become a veritable path to effective and efficient
¹⁰ public service delivery globally. The paper investigated the effectiveness and efficiency of
¹¹ online public service delivery in Nigeria from the end-users' perspective. Using an online
¹² survey conducted with online users of services of selected federal agencies in Nigeria, the paper
¹³ found that online public service delivery in the country was considered effective and efficient,
¹⁴ especially when compared with the old physical delivery mode. However, findings further
¹⁵ revealed that there was much room for improvement,

¹⁶

¹⁷ *Index terms—*

¹⁸ **1 Introduction**

¹⁹ In Nigeria, some of the limitations in the traditional public administration practices are attributable to
²⁰ bureaucratic rigidity and complexity among government Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) as well
²¹ as excessive and time-consuming duplication of paperwork which leads to long waiting time both for citizens
²² and public administration officers (Al-Hakim, 2007). Increasing yearnings for effective and efficient services came
²³ with a soaring skepticism on the ability of the public institutions to organize its affairs productively. The public
²⁴ sector is often viewed as being run by "incompetent bureaucrats" steeped in "red tape," indifferent to the needs
²⁵ of the public, and ineffective in service delivery. Whether or not this sense of gross incompetence is based on fact
²⁶ or not, as long as the perception exists, it becomes difficult for the public sector to be effective in the conduct of
²⁷ its affairs. It becomes a widespread concern as citizens have lost faith in the competency of the institutions.

²⁸ While striving to address the ugly service trend and inculcate prudence in governance, ICT was incorporated
²⁹ into social service delivery in what is known as electronic government (e-government) (Adah, 2015). is a worldwide
³⁰ phenomenon that concerns developed and developing nations ??Reddick, 2010). The reasons for e-government
³¹ adoption vary across nations. To some, it is the global trend that must be imitated while to others, it as an
³² opportunity to enshrine transparency, accountability, efficiency, and effectiveness into the business of governance.
³³ However, the development of a quality e-services delivery system that is efficient and effective is an important
³⁴ aspect of good governance. This is because e-services create the avenue for engaging citizenry both in governance
³⁵ and in the use of e-government services.

³⁶ Nigeria, like every other nation in the global community, is striving to achieve a standard where egovernance
³⁷ becomes the order of the day. It has set for itself the goal of developing its ICT structure to a level where ICT
³⁸ becomes an avenue for sending and receiving information from one sector of the society to the other.

³⁹ Nigeria formally commenced her e-government project in 2001 with the establishment of National IT policy.
⁴⁰ Various other steps have been taken afterward. They include the establishment of National Information
⁴¹ Technology Development Agency (NITDA) in 2003; establishment of National e-Government Strategies (NeGSt)
⁴² in March 2004; establishment of Public Service network (PS net) with the integration of all the ministries and
⁴³ the National Assembly (Agunloye, 2009); online project of Corporate Affairs Commission established in June
⁴⁴ 2005; the introduction of electronic passport; and the introduction of e-payment system in January 2009. Today,

5 C) E-GOVERNMENT: THE AFRICAN EXPERIENCE

45 many services of the government have been taken online. In the education sector, registration for examinations
46 like WAEC, NECO, and JAMB UTME and release of results are done online. Businesses are registered online.
47 These, among others, have altered the ways interactions take place between citizens and the Nigerian government.
48 In over a decade of introduction of online services, the need arises to examine the effectiveness and efficiency of
49 e-services by the government, especially considering deficiencies associated with the old method of public service
50 delivery in Nigeria, hence this study.

51 2 II.

52 3 Literature Review a) Service Delivery

53 To comprehend "service delivery," there is the need to understand what is termed "service" first, which this paper
54 defines as the set of activities that meets the needs of a user. Services are rendered by one party to another in
55 an agreed manner. In literature, there has been a persistent use of "goods and services" in a conjoined manner.
56 However, what differentiates services from goods are intangibility, inseparability, simultaneity, and variability
57 (Akpoiroro & Okon, 2015). Services are not concrete products that one can see and hold, so they are termed
58 intangible. Once rendered, a service vanishes. More evident is the fact that a service is consumed right at
59 the point of delivery, which means the supply and consumption of services take place simultaneously. About
60 governments, policies and programs are designed to facilitate the creation and delivery of essential social services
61 through the activities of the bureaucrats (Ikechukwu, O. U., Udu, O., Onyema, U. E., Raphael, A. E., Obi, Y.
62 V. & Obasi, V. U., 2019). Public administrators create and implement public policies that hope to deliver social
63 services that have an overriding aim of improving the well-being of the people by providing their basic needs of
64 life. At the very foundation of this objective is the pursuit of a strong, virile, and broad-based economy with
65 adequate capacity to absorb externally generated shocks (Okojie, 2009). Service delivery, then, is a cyclic process
66 for developing and delivering user-focused services. Service delivery does not stop once the product has been
67 delivered as user outreach and engagement must continue to ensure that services are well-received, used, and the
68 user achieves the full intended benefit.

69 However, public service delivery has a peculiar stance in terms of the user's perception of its quality. Unlike
70 in the free market where utility value must correspond to the cost of purchase, public service depends on the
71 capacity of the provider (government) (Wolff, Kühl & Satzger, 2018). Available public resources and the capacity
72 of the bureaucrats are essential. This is because the nexus between service efficiency and bureaucratic process
73 enjoys a linear relationship (Ikechukwu et al, 2019). It is in view of the need to overhaul the capacity of public
74 service delivery platforms that service delivery is now structured to minimize delivery cost (Wolff et al), enlarges
75 coverage areas while enhancing citizens' access through the available technologies in what is commonly known as
76 egovernment.

77 4 b) E-Government

78 Considering the governance challenges confronting the third world in recent times, as evidenced in the erratic and
79 ineffective service delivery process (Yahaya, 2019), the quest to find a lasting solution has occupied the front seat
80 on the agenda of the academia. In this effort, bureaucracy has been singled out as a prominent impediment to
81 the attainment of good governance and efficiency in public service delivery. In response to its menace, therefore,
82 e-government was suggested.

83 E-Government is a means through which political values and mandates are being fulfilled. In this perspective,
84 through e-public service delivery, popular participation can be engendered, transparency can be achieved, and
85 accountability enshrined. To back up the idea, Al-Hakim (2007) submitted that e-Government denotes the use of
86 ICTs by the government to improve the way public administration interacts with citizens and businesses and to
87 improve the efficiency of the administrative process. Similarly, Means and Schneider (2000) examine the concept
88 from the perspective of the relationships between governments, their customers (businesses, other governments,
89 and citizens) and suppliers by the use of the internet. More discretely, Lawson (1998) describes e-government as
90 "transferring power to people, by operating in a one-stop, non-stop way, and doing more for less." In the view
91 of Heichlinger (??004), citizens are central to the idea of e-government, so he defined "e-Government as a set
92 of activities supported by information systems to improve the relationships between government institutions and
93 citizens." The constant mention of "government-citizen relationship" in the above definitions portrays an element
94 of politics. According to Davies (2015) and ??dah (2018), e-Government refers to concerted efforts of public
95 authorities to use information and communication technologies to better public services delivery and increase
96 democratic participation. Conclusively, e-Government possesses the potentials to transform the government to
97 be more prudent, accountable, and responsive to the citizens (Reddick, 2010).

98 5 c) E-Government: The African Experience

99 African is home to a multiplicity of tribes and populations. Diverse in language, culture, history, religions, and
100 economic endowment. In a detailed perspective, Aina, Mutula and Tiamiyu (cited in Eiyitayo, 2008:33) while
101 describing Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) opine that:

102 The region of SSA (excluding South Africa) faces massive political and socio-economic challenges, in addition
103 to the existing underdeveloped human resources, deficient infrastructure, cultural, and funding constraints. The
104 public sector also has its problems. It is based on manual filing systems, burdened by enormous movements of
105 correspondence, duplication of files, wastage of paper, difficulty in accessing information in files, loss of data,
106 and general inefficiency of operations. From the picture painted above, a revolutionary approach is required to
107 resuscitate good governance in the region in particular and Africa in general.

108 Coincidentally, e-government provides an efficient panacea to a number of the region's challenges. Hence,
109 it was hurriedly adopted. In other similar submissions, Yahaya (2019), Adah (2015) and Al-Hakim (2007)
110 explained that one of the main limits in traditional public administration practices is due to the bureaucratic
111 complexity among the departments, excessive and timeconsuming duplication/multiplication of paperwork which
112 lead to long waiting time both for citizens and for public administration officers. The application of ICTs to
113 the public sector environment promised to improve public administration and to satisfy citizen demands for
114 good governance through prompt social service delivery (Wirtz & Daiser, 2015). However, African countries
115 particularly Western African nations like Nigeria are lagging far behind (Dhamodharam & Saminathan, 2011) in
116 the e-government movement notwithstanding the fact that Nigeria has one of the fastest-growing ICT markets
117 on the African continent, yet she persistently records low global ranking in the delivery of e-public services to her
118 citizens (Adah, 2015). More specifically, Kenya was placed on an "enhanced level" alongside Nigeria for its rapid
119 e-government adoption as it extends infrastructure and services to citizens in the rural areas (Reddick, 2010).

120 Nigeria is currently basking in the euphoria of digital breakthroughs given her experience of slow but steady
121 growth in the ICT sector. However, significant growth is not yet recorded in the use of ICT for e-Government.
122 This is attributable to several prominent among which is the inadequacy of electronic infrastructure needed to
123 spearhead the digital revolution (Yahaya, 2019).

124 In the 2016 e-government implementation ranking by the United Nations, Nigeria was the 143 rd nation of the
125 193 United Nation Member States with the following breakdown: 0.33 on Global Development Index, 0.36 on the
126 e-participation index, 0.38 on Human Capital Index, 0.41 on Online Service Index and 0.20 on Telecommunication
127 Infrastructure Index. Ghana, another West African country with similar political and economic pattern with
128 Nigeria was ranked 120 th with the breakdown of 0.42 on Global Development Index, 0.46 on the e-participation
129 index, 0.55 on Human Capital Index, 0.45 on Online Service Index and 0.26 on Telecommunication Infrastructure
130 Index (Knoema, 2016).

131 Surprisingly in 2018, Nigeria was still on the 143 rd position despite the enormous amount of funds committed
132 by the government after scoring so low in the 2016 survey. In the breakdown this time around, she experienced
133 minor improvements in EGDI with 0.38, HCI with 0.42, 0.52 in Online Service Index but with a serious decline
134 in TII with 0.18. So fortunate for her this time around, Ghana, her next-door neighbour, moved from the 120 th
135 position in 2016 to 101th in 2018 (UNDESA, 2018).

136 From the UN e-government ratings, Nigeria is placed at the Middle Online Service Index (OSI) and e-
137 Government Development Index (EGDI) (Between 0.25 and 0.50) and on "enhanced stage" (UNDESA, 2016
138 and Reddick, 2010). On a contrary perspective, Hassan (2014) contradicts the above rating as his study reveals
139 that as of the year 2013, Nigeria is already at the connected stage of e-government. Nevertheless, the government
140 has been gearing policies and initiatives to accelerate growth. The need for transparency and accountability in
141 service delivery to ensure efficiency and effectiveness is one of the intended benefits of ICTs in governance. More
142 interestingly, ICTs in governance can engender and sustain the trust of the citizens in their government (Alshehri
143 & Drew, 2010).

144 On the surface, these ratings show that Nigeria is not making commendable progress in its egovernment
145 project. This significantly showed that either there is a low level of acceptance of e-Government by the citizens
146 or the e-government implementation standard in Nigeria does not conform to international standards.

147 III.

148 6 Methodology

149 The study relied on data collected through an online survey using an unrestricted self-selected sampling technique.
150 The technique is an open type that allows any interested respondent on targeted online platforms to participate in
151 the survey. The survey targeted online users of eight (8) purposively selected Federal Agencies in Nigeria. They
152 are Corporate Affairs Commission (CAC), Nigerian Customs Service (NCS), Federal Inland Revenue Service
153 (FIRS) and Federal Road Safety Commission (FRSC). Others are the National Agency for Food and Drug
154 Administration and Control (NAFDAC), Nigerian Immigration Service (NIS), National Identity Management
155 Commission (NIMC), and National Youth Service Corp (NYSC). Their selection was based on the ground that
156 they constituted the leading online public service delivery agencies in Nigeria at the time of the survey. In the
157 administration of the survey, the respondents were reached on three (3) Facebook platforms through a hyperlink.
158 The platforms are Facebook Audience-Access Service, sorted on the bases of Nationality (Nigerians), Educational
159 Status (Higher Education), and Profession (Graduates, Selfemployed, Elite, and Artisans); followers of all Federal
160 Universities on Facebook and followers of the selected agencies on Facebook. A significant number of these social
161 media users were believed to have interacted with the government electronically. The survey was available online
162 to respondents for four (4) weeks. Data collected were analyzed using simple descriptive statistics to assess the
163 level of effectiveness and efficiency of online service delivery in Nigeria.

164 **7 Global Journal of Management and Business Research**

165 **8 Findings a) Socio-Demographic Features of the Respondents**

166 As presented in Table 1, 5624 (40.9%) of the respondents are between 20 -40 years, 8117 (59.1%) of the respondents
167 fall within the age range of 41-60 years. This indicates that the totality of the respondents are considerably
168 matured and at their service age of 20-60 years, and this feature of respondents engendered very detailed and
169 reliable responses for this study.

170 Table 1 also showed that 3179 (23.1%) of the respondents are female, while 10564 (76.9%) are male. Since it
171 is not a gender-based study added to the fact that respondents consciously chose to respond to the survey, no
172 bias can be inferred. Rather, the distribution is an indication that both male and female Nigerians patronize the
173 government online. More remarkably, all of the respondents have attained the tertiary level of education in their
174 respective disciplines. This implies that all respondents have relatively required academic level and exposure for
175 providing reliable answers to the questions.

176 Also, 54.7% of the respondents were civil/public servant from diverse government ministries, departments,
177 and agencies of federal, state and local governments; 24.6% of the respondents were from private organizations
178 with notable interface with government services; 7.5% of the respondents were self-employed; while 12.9% of the
179 respondents constituted students in various higher institutions of learning across the country. Just a few of the
180 respondents were unemployed. To this end, these respondents are considered capable of providing comprehensive
181 information on the effectiveness and efficiency of online public service delivery in Nigeria.

182 **9 b) Data Presentation and Analysis**

183 The first question put forth to the respondents was aimed at ascertaining their awareness of the existence of,
184 and interaction with, online government services. To the question, 13,677 (99.5%) of them acknowledged the
185 existence of the government online services and claimed to have transacted on the platforms at one time or the
186 other. This makes the information obtained through the survey reliable.

187 Table 2 shows the frequency and percentage distribution of the respondents on assessment indices for the
188 effectiveness and efficiency of online public service delivery in the country. The respondents were requested to
189 rate the services provided online by the government against eight (8) indices of effectiveness and efficiency using a
190 3-level scale of 'High', 'Moderate' and 'Low'. The last column on the table shows the frequencies and percentages
191 of participants that did not respond to a particular index. Where a majority of the users rate the services against
192 the indices as moderate, the online service delivery is considered moderately effective and efficient; and when
193 rated high, the online service delivery is considered highly effective and efficient. On the other side, if the services
194 are rated low against the indices by the majority, it can be concluded that online service delivery is ineffective
195 and inefficient.

196 As shown in Table 2, across the eight (8) indices, the majority of the users rated the services as either
197 moderate or high. Specifically, on the cost effectiveness of online services when compared with the physical
198 method of service; and convenience of transactions, the majority of the users rated the online service delivery
199 high. Regarding successful completion of transactions, the majority of the users, though less than half (47%),
200 rated online service delivery as moderate, another 31.5% rated it high. The implication Percent Frequency of this
201 is that most services were successfully completed online. On timely response to users' online requests, 48.2% and
202 12.3% of the users respectively rated online service delivery moderate and high. This is though an acceptable level
203 of rating, with 36.4% rating online service delivery low in this area implies a need to do more by the government.
204 This is the area that requires attention most from the government. For the remaining four (4) indices, namely:
205 the safety of identity, privacy of transaction, availability of desired and other essential information online, and
206 satisfaction from services rendered; the majority of the respondents rated the online service delivery as moderate.
207 A moderate performance simply implies there is much room for improvement. A deduction from the analysis is
208 that online public service delivery in Nigeria is considerably effective and efficient. This notwithstanding, much
209 room still exists for improvements. Particular attention is needed to be given to timely response to users' online
210 requests. V.

211 **10 Discussion and Conclusion**

212 While investigating the effectiveness and efficiency of online public service delivery in Nigeria, some indices like
213 the safety of identity, the privacy of transaction, timeliness, cost, convenience, and result orientation, etc. were
214 considered, and it is upon such indices that this discussion is based. On the safety of online transactions, the
215 study found that a moderate level of safety is provided. Online safety is crucial even for the developed nations as
216 hackers and spies have defied the most protected servers of the world. Similarly, Nigeria as a developing world,
217 is only capable of providing reasonable online safety possible in terms of the level of her economy, technological
218 advancement, as well as her national orientation. Writing on the extent of online vulnerability are scholars like
219 Davies (2015), Alshehri & Drew (2010), and ??olesca (2009).

220 As regards the timely response to users' online request, this study put this also at a moderate level. This
221 is equally understandable as the waiting time is dependent on the extent of server capacity and capability
222 rather than the long waiting time usually encountered at the period of manual service delivery. As argued by

223 Ajayi (2007), the absence of an Internet Exchange Point in Nigeria that required that Local Internet traffic be
224 transmitted through points in Europe and America at a great cost also adds to the delay in transaction responses.
225 Similarly, the study placed the cost-effectiveness when compared with the physical method of service delivery as
226 high. This indicated that online service delivery reduces cost than the traditional method of transaction with
227 public institutions in Nigeria. This is partly because of cost of transportation to the center of service and other
228 logistics costs have been eliminated since the services are online-based that requires no physical movement. This
229 submission matches that of Albesher (2015) and Davies (2015), who added time and cost-savings as part of the
230 benefits of online service delivery.

231 In the findings of the study is the assertion that the chance of having a successful online transaction is
232 moderate and that convenience is created in the process. The application of the internet in public service
233 delivery is confirmed to be convenient world over (Singh As regards the effectiveness and efficiency of electronic
234 public service delivery, users' perspective, as found by this study, reveals that online service delivery in Nigeria is
235 effective and efficient. This corroborates findings of some previous studies that online delivery of public services
236 is associated with effectiveness and efficiency. Some such studies are Albesher (2015), Davies (2015), Singh and
Sharma (2009), Ayanso, Chatterjee, and Cho (2011) and Dhamodharam and Saminathan (2011). ^{1 2 3}

1

Age		
21-40 years	5624	40.9
41-60 years	8117	59.1
Below 20 years	2	.0
Total	13743	100.0
Sex		
Female	3179	23.1
Male	10564	76.9
Total	13743	100.0
Academic Qualification		
Tertiary	13743	100.0
Profession		
Civil/Public Servant	7524	54.7
Private Worker	3394	24.6
Self-Employed	1032	7.8
Students	1784	12.9
Unemployed	9	.0
Total	13743	100.0

Source: Field Survey,
2020

Figure 1: Table 1 :

237

¹© 2020 Global Journals

²Taking Public Service Delivery in Nigeria Online for Effectiveness and Efficiency: The users' Perspective

³& Sharma, 2009). Derivable from this is the fact that transactions can be initiated, monitored, and

2

		High	Moderate	Low	No Response
	Effectiveness and Efficiency Indices	F(%)	F(%)	F(%)	F(%)
i.	Safety of your identity	669 (4.9)	12433 (90.5)	573 (4.2)	2 (.0)
ii.	Privacy of your transaction	4529 (33.0)	7462 (54.3)	1206 (8.8)	480 (3.5)
iii.	Availability of your desired and other essential information online	4122 (30.0)	8285 (60.3)	1270 (9.2)	-(-)
iv.	Timely response to your request(s)	1689 (12.3)	6624 (48.2)	5002 (36.4)	362 (2.6)
v.	Cost effectiveness when compared with physical method of service delivery	8063 (58.7)	4763 (34.7)	851 (6.2)	-(-)
vi.	Successful completion of transactions	4334 (31.5)	6454 (47.0)	2889 (21.0)	-(-)
vii.	Convenience of Transactions	7500 (54.6)	6094 (44.3)	83 (.6)	-(-)
viii	Satisfaction from service rendered	2821 (20.5)	8621 (62.7)	2235 (16.3)	-(-)
.					

Source: Field Survey, 2020

Figure 2: Table 2 :

238 [Wirtz and Daiser ()] , B W Wirtz , P Daiser . <http://dnb.dnb.de> 2015. Germany. (E-Government Strategy
239 Process Instruments)

240 [Al-Hakim ()] L Al-Hakim . *Global E-Government: Theory, Application, and Benchmarking*, (London) 2007.
241 Idea Group Publishing.

242 [Alshehri and Drew ()] M Alshehri , S Drew . <http://www.ict-conf.org/2010/> Government Fundamen-
243 *tals. IADIS International Conference on ICT, Society and Human Beings*, 2010. 2010.

244 [Dhamodharam and Saminathan (ed.) ()] *Challenges of E-Government in African Countries: Creating an*
245 *Enabling Environment in Nigeria. 1 st -Africa*, R Dhamodharam , A Saminathan . Conference Proceedings
246 Paul Cunningham and Miriam Cunningham (ed.) 2011. 2011.

247 [Yahaya ()] 'Contextual Challenges of Planning and Implementing E-Governance in Nigeria'. Y Yahaya .
248 1-5.www.ijciaropenaccess.com In *International Journal of Current Innovations in Advanced Research*
249 2019. (2) .

250 [Ayanso et al. ()] 'E-Government Readiness Index: A Methodology and Analysis'. A Ayanso , D Chatterjee , D
251 I Cho . www.elsevier.com/locate/govinf *Government Information Quarterly* 2011. 2011. 28 p. .

252 [Davies ()] 'e-Government: Using Technology to Improve Public Services and Democratic Participation'. R Davies
253 . *European Parliamentary Research Service (EPRS)*. Pgs 2015. p. .

254 [Ajayi (2007)] *ICT Business in Nigeria: Challenges and Opportunities*, L Ajayi . www.nitpa.org/articles/globalit/NCS_Paper.pdf 2007. May 3, 2009.

255 [Akpoiroro and Okon ()] 'Students' Satisfaction with Service Delivery'. R M Akpoiroro , J E Okon .
256 10.5897/IJEAPS2015.0408. *Federal Universities in South-South Geo-Political Zone*, 2015. 7 p. .

258 [Wolff et al. ()] 'System-Oriented Service Delivery: The Application of Service System Engineering to Service
259 Delivery'. C Wolff , N Kühl , G Satzger . *Twenty-Sixth European Conference on Information Systems*
260 (*ECIS2018*), (Portsmouth, UK) 2018. 2018. (Being a paper presented at the)

261 [Adah (2015)] 'The Status and Nature of E-Governance in Nigeria'. B A Adah . *Second Covenant University*
262 *Conference on e-Governance in Nigeria (CUCEN 2015)*, (Nigeria) 2015. June 10-12, 2015. Covenant
263 University Canaanland, Ota Ogun State

264 [Albesher ()] *Trust as a Source of Long-Term Adoption of E-government. Being a Thesis Submitted for the*
265 *Degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the Department of*, A Albesher . 2015. London. Computer Science at
266 Brunel University