

# 1 The Role of School Principals in Improving Students' Academic 2 Performance in Secondary Schools of Sidama Zone, SNNPR

3 Habtamu Gezahegn<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> Hawassa University

5 *Received: 15 December 2018 Accepted: 3 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019*

6

---

## 7 **Abstract**

8 This paper is aimed at examining the roles played by school principals in improving students?  
9 academic achievement in secondary schools of Sidama zone in Southern Nations Nationalities  
10 and Peoples? Region of Ethiopia. It is a mixed type of research, which employed both  
11 qualitative and quantitative data. The findings of the study indicated that school principals  
12 have not adequately played roles expected of them to improve students? performance. Most of  
13 them do not have adequate training in school management and are subject teachers who were  
14 currently promoted to principal-ship position. They attempted to maintain their status quo  
15 rather than introducing changes. Those challenges hindering school principals from promoting  
16 students? academic performance do exist at the moderate level and some of the major  
17 challenges were large class size, failure to introduce new programs to school curriculum, lack of  
18 commitment on the part of school community and lack of school pedagogical centers.

19

---

20 **Index terms**— academic performance, secondary schools, sidama zone, SNNPR.

## 21 **1 I. Introduction**

22 The success or failure of a school is usually attributed to the level of principals' leadership effectiveness. A school  
23 principal is the pivot around which many aspects of the school revolve, being the person in charge of every detail  
24 of running the school, be it academic or administrative. Schools can make a difference to students' achievement  
25 and the principal's leadership is one those factors determining that success. It is therefore important that the  
26 performance of a school is appraised against the performance of the person who leads it.

27 In school compound, principals are the most important individuals while the teaching-learning process is  
28 the crucial activity that principals should run properly in order to promote students performance. To ensure  
29 this, principals need to update themselves with different contemporary leadership practices using different long  
30 and short term trainings because the effectiveness of a school is directly or indirectly related to the leadership  
31 effectiveness of the principal running it. A vast body of research on effective schools provides consistent evidence  
32 that effective leadership is the key factor for school improvement and student achievement (Duke & Stiggins,  
33 1985). School leaders do have a quantifiable, yet predominantly indirect influence on student outcomes (Mulford,  
34 2008). ??anyama (2013), also adds that the success of a school to a great extent depends upon its principal.

35 If school principals exert more of their time on improving the quality of teaching and learning in their school,  
36 then they are likely to have a far greater influence on student outcomes. Many scholars (like Gamage, Adams and  
37 McCormack 2009) have acknowledged that the role of school principal is the most significant in enhancing school  
38 performance and students achievements. Principals' influence on teachers will indirectly be observed on students.  
39 Pont, Nusche and Hunter (2008) explain that principals can affect the working conditions and motivations of  
40 their teachers, who do directly influence classroom practice and student learning.

41 Thus, the purpose of this study is to assess the role of school principals as leaders in improving students'  
42 academic performance in secondary schools of Sidama zone, in Southern Nations' Nationalities and Peoples'  
43 Region (SNNPR) of Ethiopia. Accordingly, principals' efforts in improving students' performance, teachers' and

## 2 B) HOW DO PRINCIPALS AFFECT STUDENTS' LEARNING?

---

44 school leaders' perception of principals attempts to enhance students' performance, and challenges encountered  
45 so far are examined in this study. Accordingly, the study attempted to answer the following basic questions:  
46 1) To what extent have the school principals played their roles in improving students' academic performance in  
47 secondary schools of Sidama Zone? 2) What is the perception of teachers and school leaders towards principals'  
48 roles in improving students' academic performance in the target secondary schools? 3) What are the major  
49 challenges hindering principals' attempts to promote students' academic performance in those schools? affecting  
50 student achievement clearly indicates principals must have a thorough understanding of their roles as instructional  
51 leaders. In addition, principals must also have the ability to fulfil each of their roles as instructional leaders by  
52 effectively utilizing researched based practices. Successful schools in challenging environments usually have leaders  
53 who engage closely with, and are consequently highly trusted by their schools' key stakeholders and surrounding  
54 community ??Hargreaves, Halasz & Pont, 2008). These leaders spent more time in schools with children and  
55 placed more focus on improving student welfare and attainment through involvement with partners in their wider  
56 community including sports clubs, businesses, and religious groups (Price water house Coopers, 2007). One of  
57 the findings from the study conducted by Sebastian and Allensworth (2012) indicates that student achievement  
58 was related to principal leadership, but only through the learning climate created.

59 Similarly, through a statistical process known as meta-analysis, researchers such as Waters, Marzano, and  
60 ??cNulty (2004) analyzed the results of 70 principal leadership studies and found certain direct leadership  
61 practices significantly correlated with improved student achievement. Among these were systematically  
62 visiting classrooms, frequently interacting with students, publicly celebrating accomplishments of students, and  
63 maintaining visibility around the school. Generally, many scholars have acknowledged that the role of school  
64 principal is the most significant in enhancing school performance and students achievements.

65 Hallinger's (2010) review of empirical research on school leadership also inferred that leaders can have indirect  
66 or mediated positive effects on student achievement by building a collaborative organizational learning culture,  
67 and helping to develop the leadership capacities of staff and community. Relevant literature (e.g., ??ay et  
68 al., 2016;Mulford, 2008) have concurred that school leaders do have a quantifiable, yet predominantly indirect  
69 influence on student outcomes. The impact that school leaders can have on student learning is often moderated  
70 by other factors including teacher quality, classroom procedures and school environment.

### 71 2 b) How do Principals Affect Students' Learning?

72 Discussions about the scope of principal's job too often focus on a to do list: helping teachers improve their  
73 teaching using data to review and refine the instructional program and enduring that the school is kept clean and  
74 safe. The more abstract but very tangible elements of leadership, however, are often what spell the difference  
75 between adequate and excellent principals. The research can address this aspect of the link between principal  
76 leadership and students learning.

77 Louis et al (2010) offered a definition of leadership that is distilled from the essence of their findings leadership  
78 is all about organization improvement; more specially, it is about establishing agreed-upon and worthwhile  
79 directions for the organization in question, and doing whatever it takes to prod and support people to move in  
80 those directions.

81 Other researchers conducted meta-analysis that focused on the relationship between leadership and students  
82 achievement. They also found that principal leadership is corrected with student achievement and that there  
83 were especially strong links between specific principal behaviours and student learning. One such behavior was  
84 the extent to which the principal is aware of the details and under currents in the running of the school and uses  
85 this information to address current and potential problems (Waters, Morzano, and ??cnulty, 2004). In the view  
86 of those researchers, effective leadership means more than knowing what to do-it's knowing when, how, and why  
87 to do it ??Waters et al, 2004).

88 In recent report, the Wallace foundation (2011) identified five key functions of principal leadership: Shaping  
89 vision of academic success for all students, creating a climate hospitable to education, a cooperative spirit and  
90 other foundations of fruitful interaction prevail; cultivating leadership in others so that teachers and other adults  
91 assume their part in realizing the school vision; improving instruction to enable teachers to teach at their best  
92 and students to learn at their utmost and managing people, data and processes to foster school improvement.

93 The report identified an important qualification about those key leader functions: Each of these five tasks needs  
94 to interact with the other four for any part to succeed. ??t "The only factor that increased student achievement  
95 was the significance of the teacher. Thus, administrators create good schools and good teachers create good  
96 classrooms." (p.1) Therefore, any form of leadership that helps to increase teachers' knowledge of their content  
97 and improve their classroom management skills should be a consideration.

98 In essence, the primary role of the principal is to increase individual teacher efficiency and the staffs' collective  
99 efficacy. That means a principal needs to recognize individual teachers' beliefs about their ability to influence  
100 student learning. The collective efficacy represents teachers' perceptions regarding the staff ability as a whole to  
101 ensure student learning. In relation to this idea, ??cquigan (2009) states, "if the daily actions of principals make  
102 a difference in student academic achievement, schools can be improved by improving or replacing principals"  
103 (p.2). So if a school principal devotes more of his effort and resources towards teachers' empowerment for the  
104 betterment of students 'achievement, teachers will develop positive attitude towards their principal.

105 In the past, few researches have been conducted on teachers' views on principal practices that positively

106 influence their classroom practices. A study conducted by Blase and Blase (2001) investigated teachers' 107 descriptions of their principals' attitudes, strategies, behaviours and goals that had an influence on their classroom 108 instruction. Barnett, Marsh, and Craven's (2003) study on teacher satisfaction also indicates that teachers 109 were positively influenced by their principals' individualized considerations and negatively influenced by their 110 principals' laissez-faire leadership behaviours. In addition to the above authors, Berube, Gaston and Stephans 111 (2004) state that teacher perceptions of principal as instructional leader can have a major impact on the school, 112 which in turn can influence students' performance.

113 Bulach, Boothe and Pickett's (2006) research on teachers' perceptions of principals suggests that principals' 114 human relation skills, levels of trust, the way decisions are made, and the failure to empower subordinates and 115 deal with conflict are often why principals are either successful or not successful as educational leaders.

### 116 **3 d) Challenges that Principals Encounter in Improving**

117 Students' Performance The principal's efforts to improve students' academic performance are not easy tasks. 118 They may be hindered by several factors which the principal should overcome. Njuguna (2004) found out in 119 her research that some of the factors that have negative impact on students' performance were: inadequacy of 120 some teaching/learning resources, lack of efficient school based curriculum monitoring, inability by the teachers 121 to complete the syllabuses in time, students' characteristics such as indiscipline, poor entry behaviour, frequent 122 absenteeism, and inadequate parents' participation in school affairs due to poverty.

123 Major factors that affect a secondary school principals' practices could be associated with: poor management, 124 budget deficit, pupils with low internal efficiency, poor motivation and self esteem, inadequate school resources 125 including buildings, academic staff, and low levels of parent involvement. As indicated above, principal's tasks 126 are complex and need a lot of efforts to overcome them. Some of these challenges can be external and attributed 127 to local government politicians, the community, government policies and the likes. Likewise, school principals can 128 face many challenges internally like problems related to teachers, students, and school administration. Teachers' 129 lack of commitment and uncooperative attitudes, coupled with lateness and alcoholism, which affects the output 130 negatively are challenges for head teachers today (Kusi, 2008).

131 The 21st century expectations of schools are now requiring different types of leadership skills from principals. 132 This stems from the fact that in addition to instructional and programming pressures, today's principals are 133 also facing challenges that include budgetary reductions, school safety, contract administration, supervision, data 134 management and marketing. Thus, in addition to effective instructional leadership skills, a principal's effectiveness 135 during this new educational era will also require complex knowledge and skills related to organizational culture 136 and management.

## 137 **4 II. Research Design and Methodology**

138 In this study, attempts were made to describe the role of school principals in improving students' academic 139 performance in selected secondary schools of Sidama Zone. Hence, descriptive survey research design was 140 employed, as it enables a researcher to describe the current status of an area of study (Best & Khan, 141 2003). Descriptive survey research method allows the researcher to gather data from relatively large number 142 of respondents within short period of time with minimum cost. "Descriptive research attempts to describe 143 systematically a situation, problem, phenomenon or attitudes towards an issue" ??Kumar, 2005:8). It reduces 144 the absence observed and easily describes every phenomenon under studies. So the researcher employed this 145 research design weighing its convenience.

146 As far as the research method is concerned, both qualitative and quantitative methods were employed to offset 147 the weakness of one by another. The researcher initially used quantitative method through survey questionnaire, 148 while he also employed interview and FGD to substantiate the quantitative data. There is a rationale to use 149 multiple approaches in this study. First, using such method is advantageous to examine the same phenomenon 150 from multiple perspectives (Cohen et al., 2007). Second, this approach is important to build upon the strength 151 that exists between quantitative and qualitative methods in order to understand a given phenomenon than is 152 possible using either quantitative or qualitative methods alone (Creswell, 2003).

### 153 **5 a) Population, Sample and Sampling Techniques**

154 The target populations of the study were secondary school principals, teachers, PTA members, and wereda 155 education office experts. The researcher assumed they are the right sources of information for this study. In 156 Sidama Zone, there are 19 Woredas/districts. For this study, 5 Weredas, were selected using simple random 157 sampling techniques to get representative samples and to allow every subject get equal chance of being selected. 158 In the selected sample weredas there are 20 secondary schools out of which 10 schools (50%) were selected by 159 using simple random sampling technique. This is because the researcher believed that the schools are almost 160 in the same status to be selected uniformly. The secondary schools included are Dila Aferara, Telamo-Tumano, 161 Haroshifa, Kenera, Tuticha, Bursa, Hawassa Langano, Jara Dado, Malga-Madicho and Wotararesa, secondary 162 schools.

163 In the selected secondary schools, there are 320 teachers and 100 school leaders (principals, vice principals, 164 unit leaders and department heads). Out of these, 160 (50%) of the teachers, and 40 (40%) of the school leaders

## 11 A) ROLES PLAYED BY PRINCIPALS IN ORDER TO IMPROVE

---

165 were selected to be the participants of the study through simple random sampling technique (see table 1). This is  
166 to get representative samples and to let every subject have equal chance to be selected. Moreover, 10 members of  
167 PTA (one from each school) and 5 wereda/district education office experts were included in the study purposively  
168 based on their rich experience and the direct concern they have with the issue under investigation.

### 169 6 b) Instruments of Data Collection

170 To get relevant information from respondents, different data gathering tools were employed. Accordingly,  
171 questionnaire was conducted with teachers and school leaders because their number is relatively larger while  
172 interview items were conducted with wereda education office (WEO) experts. Data from Parent Teacher  
173 Association (PTA) members were solicited through Focus Group Discussion (FGD) method. Out of all the  
174 questionnaires dispatched to respondents, 150 (93.75%) from teachers and 40 (100%) from school leaders were  
175 properly retrieved and used for data analysis. To rate respondents' attitude, Likert scales ranging from strongly  
176 disagree (1) to strongly agree (5) and rating scales ranging from very Low (1) to very high (5) were employed.  
177 The content validity of the instruments was checked by involving three experts currently working at Hawassa  
178 University while the reliability of the instrument (questionnaire) was checked by randomly selecting 30 teachers in  
179 the neighbouring secondary school (named Motto secondary school), which is excluded from the sample schools.  
180 Accordingly, the overall coefficient of reliability test for all items was found to be 0.87, which indicates that  
181 questions in each construct measure similar concept. As suggested by Cronbach (cited in Tech-Hong & Waheed,  
182 2011), the reliability coefficients between 0.82-0.94 are generally found to be internally consistent.

183 To make good relationship and avoid confusion during the interview sessions, the investigator already made  
184 pre-contact with the interviewees. Establishing rapport is, according to Best and Khan (2009), the key to  
185 effective interviewing. Data from parent teacher association (PTA) members were solicited using focus group  
186 discussions. The focus group consisted of 10 individuals, as per the suggestion of Marczyk, DeMatto and Festinger  
187 (2005). These authors recommend that the number of FGD participants should typically be composed of several  
188 participants, usually 6 to 10 individuals. The discussion lasted for 35 minutes and the researcher acted as  
189 a moderator, listener, observer, and eventually analyst using an inductive process (Krueger & Casey, 2000).  
190 The researcher selected FGD as an instrument because it presents a more natural environment than that of an  
191 individual interview and participants are influencing and influenced by others just as they are in life (Krueger &  
192 Casey, 2000).

### 193 7 c) Data Analysis

194 In this study both qualitative and quantitative data analysis techniques were employed. The quantitative data  
195 obtained through questionnaires were edited, categorized tallied, and tabulated. The data, then, were analyzed  
196 using appropriate descriptive and inferential statistics. Thus, mean, standard deviation and independent sample  
197 t-test were used. The results were obtained and the relationship of each variable is thoroughly interpreted and  
198 discussed on the basis of key question. Quantitative analysis was done through the software called Statistical  
199 Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20. The qualitative data gathered through interview and focus group  
200 discussion were described as supplementary evidence in addition to the discussions of quantitative data. The  
201 data were analyzed using narrative description and quoting as it is. Finally, conclusions were drawn from the  
202 major findings.

### 203 8 d) Ethical Considerations

204 Responding to interviews, attending FGD and filling questionnaires require significant time and energy. Its  
205 participation could also disrupt respondents' regular activity. For this reason, the researcher got consent of the  
206 respondents by explaining the objective and significance of the study and allowing them to exercise their right  
207 to participate voluntarily. To avoid any psychological harm, questions were framed in a manner that they could  
208 not disrupt the respondents' feelings. The respondents were informed that the information they provide would  
209 be kept confidential.

## 210 9 III.

## 211 10 Results

### 212 11 a) Roles Played by Principals in order to Improve

213 Students' Academic Performance Table 2 describes the degree of roles played by school principals in improving  
214 students' academic performance. To this end, 8 items were forwarded to respondents to obtain their opinion.  
215 Accordingly, the following rating scales were used to compute the responses obtained:

216 ? The mean scores from the data analysis were interpreted as follows: ? 2.59 low, 2.60 -3.39 medium, ?3.40  
217 high. Note: Throughout all the tables, SD-is standard deviation; t-value is independent sample t-test. P is  
218 significant at  $p < 0.05$ .

219 As it can be seen in Table 2 item 1, the principals' roles in creating confidence among students was rated to  
220 be low by teachers with  $x = 2.33$ ,  $SD=1.23$ , indicating extent of creating confidence among students by school

221 principals to be low, while the school leaders ( $x = 2.75$ ,  $SD = 1.20$ ) revealed that the extent of creating confidence  
222 among students by school principals was at the moderate level. On the other hand, the calculated t-test value ( $t =$   
223  $-1.39$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) showed that there is statistically no significant difference between the teachers and school leaders  
224 on this issue. This implies that extent of creating confidence among students in directing them towards goals to  
225 be achieved was below satisfactory.

226 Table 2 item 2 presents the extent of principals modelling best practices of the school. In this concern, the  
227 mean scores of teachers ( $x = 2.53$ ,  $SD = 1.14$ ) was moderate while that of the school leaders ( $x = 2.40$ ,  $SD = 1.09$ )  
228 indicated that principals level modelling best practice of the school was rated to be low. On the other hand, the  
229 calculated t-test value ( $t = .468$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) showed that there is statistically significant difference between teachers  
230 and school leaders on the extent to which principals model best practices of their schools. In spite of the existence  
231 of significant difference between the two groups, it could be understood that, principals modelling best practice  
232 of the school is almost rated to be inadequate.

233 In the interview conducted with wereda education office experts, one of the interviewees (Exp 1) revealed the  
234 following:

235 Principals have exerted their efforts to take best practices as models for the betterment of students' learning  
236 but the practices are inadequate and lack consistency. So this is among the issues need to be emphasized by  
237 school principals.

238 Table 2 item 3 indicates the extent to which principals build trust and respect among students in order to  
239 improve students' academic performance. The mean score of teachers ( $x = 2.46$ ,  $MD = 1.17$ ) showed minimum  
240 efforts made in this regard, while the school leaders ( $x = 2.75$ ,  $SD = 1.11$ ) rated this item to be moderate.  
241 This indicates that the level of efforts exerted by principals to build trust and respect among their students is  
242 insufficient. It was seen that there is statistically significance difference between the two groups of respondents,  
243 with the t-test value ( $t = -1.01$ ,  $p = .012 < 0.05$ ). This showed that principal's made minimum efforts with regard  
244 to this item. During the discussions made with the PTA members, the following points were raised concerning  
245 this issue:

## 246 **12 Principals and those working in managerial positions in 247 our school are not well concerned with hearing students' 248 grievances. Every time students come to offices for infor- 249 mation or complaints, nobody want to give them attention 250 including the secretary.**

251 Here, one can understand that, even though school leaders wanted to suppress the fact by rating the item  
252 moderate ( $x = 2.75$ ), teachers and the PTA members opined that the efforts made by principals to build trust  
253 and respect among students was at its minimum level.

254 As it can be seen in Table 2, statistically significant differences were observed between respondents as shown  
255 by item 4 ( $P = .040 < 0.05$ ) and item 7 ( $P = .009 < 0.05$ ). In the remaining items (items 5, 6 and 8), no significant  
256 opinion differences were observed between the respondents.

257 Overall, as per the cut points previously set, school principals' roles in improving students' academic  
258 performance in secondary schools of Sidama Zone was rated to be below average by both teachers ( $x = 2.36$ ) and  
259 school leaders ( $x = 2.51$ ). There is also statistically no significant difference between the two groups ( $P = .353 >$   
260  $0.05$ ). The interview result from experts (Exp 2 and 3) is summarized as follows in relation to this concept:

261 Principals' roles in improving students' academic performance in our secondary schools is said to be minimal.  
262 For instance, the activities undertaken in schools starting from conducting the teaching and learning process  
263 till the provision of tests and exams were not given adequate attention. Especially, the exam system in our  
264 schools needs to be revised. Students are seated in classes in crowded form and invigilators are not serious in  
265 their invigilation, which gives way for cheating. Let alone on quizzes and tests, our principals are not serious  
266 in warning the invigilators during national exams. So how can we talk of student achievement in such an  
267 environment?

268 As clearly put by the interviewees, academic honesty is one of the issues need to be considered by principals  
269 if they want to promote students' performance. ??ilkinson (2009) put that cheating is considered as one of the  
270 forms of academic misconduct that has become one of the biggest concerns of educational institutions. This may  
271 discourage hard-working students and affect school assessment system. In relation to this, Boulville (2008) writes  
272 that cheating undermines not only learning but also the validity and reliability of assessment.

273 From educators and administrators in understanding because cheating undermines not only learning (Boulville,  
274 2008) but also the validity and reliability of assessment.

## 275 **13 b) Perception Level of Teachers and School Leaders**

276 Table 3 presents teachers' and school leaders' perception of principals' roles in improving students' performance.  
277 Accordingly, 10 items were presented to the respondents to obtain their opinions on different issues.

278 14 Key:

279 M-is mean, SD-is standard deviation, t-is independent sample t-test and P is significant at  $p < 0.05$ .  
280 The mean scores from the data analysis were interpreted as follows: ?2.59 low, 2.60-3.39 medium, ?3.40 high.  
281 As shown in table 3 item 1, the respondents were requested whether school principals skilfully develop different  
282 programs that improve the instruction or not. In this regard, the calculated mean scores of teachers ( $x ?= 1.72$ ,  
283  $SD=.092$ ) indicated disagreement with the issue, while school leaders ( $x ?= 2.88$ ,  $SD=1.42$ ) seem to agree with the  
284 issue by rating the item moderate. On the other hand, the calculated t-test value ( $t= -7.55$ ,  $p=.000 < 0.05$ ) shows  
285 that there is statistically significant difference between the two respondents on the issue. The FGD participants  
286 raised the following points concerning this issue:

287 In our schools, principals seem to keep their status quo rather than introducing different programs and practices  
288 to their schools for improvement of the instructional program. Supports given to teachers who are in need of  
289 conducting action research to improve instruction were also minimal.

290 Therefore, it could be concluded that principal have not adequately developed various programs that improve  
291 the instructional program. As to Nasseh and Strauss (2000), schools must develop cultures, structures, and  
292 programs that support diverse students, staff, and faculty and need to develop activities and curricula that  
293 provide opportunities to learn about cultural diversity, race, ethnicity, and gender.

294 Concerning the rest of the items, except items 5 and 9 where the respondents' ratings were average, the mean  
295 computational results of the two groups were found to be minimal. Overall, the average mean of teachers ( $x ?=$   
296 2.21) and that of school leaders ( $x ?= 2.30$ ) imply that the respondents unanimously rated principals' attempt  
297 in improving students' academic performance to be below average. The finding indicates that principal in the  
298 sample schools have not worked well to promote students' performance. Leithwood, Harris and Strauss (2010)  
299 explain that the principal is second only to teacher influence to improve student achievement. The P-value  
300 ( $P= .588 > 0.05$ ) shows that there is no statistically significant difference between teachers and school leaders on  
301 this issue. Key: n= number of respondents, SD-is standard deviation, t-is independent sample t-test and P is  
302 significant at  $p < 0.05$ . The mean scores from the data analysis were interpreted as follows: ? 2.59 low, 2.60  
303 -3.39 medium, ?3.40 high.

304 As depicted by items 1, 2 and 4 of table 4, both teachers and school leaders rated lack of adequate classroom,  
305 failure to facilitate favourable conditions for parents' participation and lack adequate computers in the schools  
306 to be the major challenges of school principals, with the average means of 3.60, 3.71 and 3.75 respectively.  
307 Especially, lack of adequate classrooms forced the target secondary schools to include many students in a single  
308 classroom. However, this is against the policy of MoE, which suggests not to put more than 40 students in a  
309 class at secondary level. In relation to this issue, one of the wereda education office experts (Exp4) revealed the  
310 following fact:

311 The problem of large class size is among the major obstacles not only to school principals but also to teachers in  
312 our schools. Sometimes teachers encounter large numbers as high as 65 students in a class, which makes situations  
313 very difficult for teachers, especially when they want to treat students individually as per their behaviours. So I  
314 personally suggest that the schools should mobilize the local community for the construction of additional classes  
315 if the regional government is not in a position to envisage this in the near future.

316 Many writers have raised the issue of class size at different times. For instance, Imoke (2006) puts that  
317 optimum class size implies rational coordination of educational infrastructures, subject to available number of  
318 students in order to attain high level of productivity. Managing class size allows students to learn effectively  
319 without disturbing one another (Garret, 2008). Contrary to the issues raised by the above authors, if the class  
320 size is too large for students to attend, it can have a serious drawback on students' performance. For example,  
321 Rubin (2012) indicated in his finding that, as the class size increases, student achievement declines. In their  
322 study of "Impact of Class Size on Students' Academic Performance in Biology" .Ruffina, Esther and Anastacia  
323 (2018) explained the following drawbacks of large class size:

324 Large class size may generate a lot of controversy due to the difficulty of teachers to work with large class  
325 size. These controversies may serve as thorns that crumble the performance of students in biology at the senior  
326 secondary school level. Some of these problems may be; teachers may find it difficult to use varied teaching  
327 methodologies in teaching, students may find it difficult to concentrate in the class, teachers may find it difficult to  
328 control the students in school leadership and management, lack of regular supervisory support from the concerned  
329 education officials and lack of adequate resources were also among the top rated challenges to principals with the  
330 average means of 3.84, 3.77, 3.79, 3.69 and 3.70 respectively. Here, in table 4, lack of resources was among the  
331 major challenges rated by the two groups of respondents with the average mean ( $x ?= 3.70$ ).

332 In the responses to the open-ended items, the respondents confirmed that there is shortage of resources like  
333 classrooms, text books, computers and school pedagogical centers. The availability and use of instructional  
334 materials affects the effectiveness of a teacher's lesson, which indirectly affects students' academic performance  
335 (Wakarindi, 2013). Accordingly, any trace of inadequacy leads to frustration among teachers and students, which  
336 negatively affects students' academic performance.

337 The top-most challenge rated to be very serious by the school leaders with mean ( $x ?= 3.88$ ), here, is lack of  
338 adequate training on school leadership and management for principals. The ideas of PTA members during FGD  
339 are summarized as follow with regard to this issue:

340 A principal, as an instructional leader, needs to treat student as a student and teacher as a teacher, if the aim

341 is to bring about better academic performance among students; however, we do not think our school principals  
342 have succeeded in this area because most of them do not have adequate training in school management. Majority  
343 of them are subject teachers who were currently promoted to principalship position. Similar to the above result,  
344 the finding of a study conducted by Yasser and Amal (2015) on teachers' perceptions of principals' instructional  
345 leadership in Omani schools indicated the need for principals to be trained in instructional leadership, especially  
346 in the field of managing instructional programs in their schools.

347 To sum, the challenges rated to be higher by respondents in table 4 require principals in the study area to  
348 exert more of their efforts to overcome the problems and promote students' performance.

349 Contrary to the aforementioned items, which were rated to be the highest hindering factors, items 3, 6 and 9  
350 were rated by the respondents to be the lowest hindering factors that school principals encountered. These were  
351 indicated by the average mean computational results of 3.13, 3.58 and 3.18 respectively.

352 In general, as per the data from table 4, teaches indicated by their overall mean ( $(\bar{x}) = 3.64$ ) that challenges  
353 hindering school principals from promoting students' academic performance do exist at the moderate level, while  
354 the school leaders rated the existence of these factors to be at the lowest level, with the overall mean ( $(\bar{x}) =$   
355 3.57), as per the cut points previously set. In spite of the existence of opinion differences between the two groups,  
356 the calculated tvalue ( $t = .462$ ,  $P=.649 > 0.05$ ) shows that there is no statistically significant opinion difference  
357 between the two groups.

358 In the interview conducted with them, the wereda education office expert (Exp 5) mentioned the following,  
359 which is summarized as follows:

360 We observed many challenges hindering principals from promoting students' academic performance. Among  
361 these, the most serious ones in our opinion are lack of pedagogical centers, less commitment on the part of the  
362 school community and lack of adequate training on school leadership and management.

363 As depicted by table 3 above, these three items are also rated to be high by the responses given to the  
364 quantitative data.

## 365 15 IV.

## 366 16 Conclusions

367 School principals' role in improving students' academic performance in the target secondary schools of Sidama  
368 Zone was rated to be below average by teachers, school leaders and wereda education office experts. Weak  
369 supervision of school-based and national exams by principals paved ways to cheating during examinations.  
370 Principals have not adequately developed various programs to improve the quality of instruction. The respondents  
371 also opined that bringing about better academic achievement among students should have been the prime role of  
372 a school principal. Nevertheless, it was rated that principals' attempts in this area was below average. Among  
373 the hindering factors to students' academic achievement is large class size. It has been stressed by many writers  
374 that, as the class size increases, student achievement declines. However, principals' role in relation to this was  
375 rated to be inadequate. Most of the school principals do not have adequate training in school management and  
376 majority of them are subject teachers who were currently promoted to principalship position. As per the data  
377 from teaches, challenges hindering school principals from promoting students' academic performance do exist at  
the moderate level, while the school leaders rated the existence of these factors to be at the lowest level. <sup>1</sup>

## 1

No.	Target	Population	Sample	%	Sampling Technique
1	Teachers	320	160	50	Random sampling
2	School Leaders	80	40	50	Random sampling
3	WEO experts	10	5	50	Purposive
4	PTA	50	10	20	Purposive

378 Figure 1: Table 1 :

## 16 CONCLUSIONS

---

2

SN Items	Respondents Type				t-value
	Teachers (N=150)		School Leaders(N=40)		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
1 Efforts to create confidence among students	2.33	1.23	2.75	1.20	-1.39
2 Extent of taking best practices as models for students learning	2.53	1.14	2.40	1.09	.468
3 Extent of building trust and respect among students	2.46	1.17	2.75	1.11	-1.01
4 Attempt to treat others as individual rather than as a group	2.22	1.39	1.86	1.14	-2.06
5 Ability to assemble resource for certain task achievement	2.36	.858	2.35	.933	.091
6 Extent of supervising teaching and learning Efforts to communicate vision, mission and	2.73	1.25	2.90	1.20	-.557
7 goals to the school community for the betterment of students performance	2.24	.874	2.85	.875	-.512
8 Analysis of exam results to identify students academic performance problems	2.04	.738	2.20	.767	-.888
Overall	2.36	.211	2.51	.37	-.961

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Performance

Figure 3: Table 3 :

SN	Items	Teachers (n=150)		Respondents School leaders (n=
		Mean	SD	
1	Lack of adequate class room in the school	4.00	.587	3.20
2	failure in facilitating favorable conditions to make parents to participate in different school activities	3.76	.727	3.67
3	Lack of adequate pupil text book ratio	3.37	1.37	2.89
4	Lack adequate computers in the school	3.90	1.15	3.60
5	Lack pedagogical center	4.07	.828	3.62
6	Shortage of budget	3.49	1.46	3.67
7	Lack of school community commitment	3.58	1.28	3.96
8	Lack of adequate training on school leadership and management	3.70	1.33	3.88
9	Lack of experience to manage and mobilize the school community towards shared goal	2.92	1.58	3.44
10	Lack of regular supervisory support from the concerned education officials	3.70	1.18	3.68
11	Lack of educational resource ( financial and material)	3.60	1.29	3.80
	Overall	3.64	.32	3.58

Figure 4: Table 4 :



---

379 [Best and Khan ()] , J W Best , V Khan . 2003. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India PL. (Research in education.  
380 9th ed))

381 [Best and Khan ()] , J W Best , J V Khan . 2009. New Delhi: PHI Learning. (Research in education)

382 [Bulach et al. (2006)] *Analyzing the leadership behavior of school principals*, C Bulach , D Boothe , W Pickett .  
383 <http://cnx.org/content/ml3813/1.1/> 2006. August 21. (Retrieved from the Connexions Web site)

384 [Imoke ()] *Class size and academic success among adolescent Nigerians*, F Imoke . 2006. Ile-Ife: Obafemi Awolowo  
385 University Press Ltd.

386 [Garret ()] *Classroom management essentials*, D J Garret . 2008. London: Cambridge University Press.

387 [Blase and Blase ()] 'Collegiality instead of control is one thing teachers appreciate in a leader'. J Blase , J Blase  
388 . *Journal of Staff Development* 2001. 22 (1) p. .

389 [Marczyk et al. ()] *Essentials of research design and methodology*, G Marczyk , D Dematto , D Festinger . 2005.  
390 Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

391 [Duke and Stiggins ()] 'Evaluating the performance of principals'. D Duke , R Stiggins . *Educational Adminis-  
392 tration Quarterly* 1985. 21 p. .

393 [Njuguna ()] *Factors influencing students; performance in the KCSE examinations in public secondary schools in  
394 Gatanga Division*, L M Njuguna . 2004. Thika District.Unpublished Masters Project, University of Nairobi

395 [Krueger and Casey ()] *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research*, R Krueger , M Casey . 2000.  
396 Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

397 [Hargreaves et al. ()] A Hargreaves , G Halász , B Pont . *The Finnish Approach to System Leadership*, 2008.

398 [Gamage et al. ()] 'How Does a School Leader's Role influence student Achievement? A Review Research  
399 Findings and Best Practices'. D Gamage , D Adams , McCormack . *Internal journals of Educational Leadership  
400 Preparation* 2009. (4) .

401 [Ruffina et al. ()] 'Impact of class size on students' academic performance in Biology in Idemili north local  
402 government area of Anambra State'. A Ruffina , A Esther , I Anastacia . *International Journal of Education  
403 and Evaluation* 2018. 4 (8) p. .

404 [Pwc ()] *Independent study into school leadership: Main Report*, Pwc . 2007. DfES, London: Price water house  
405 Coopers.

406 [Louis et al. ()] *Investigating the links to improved student learning: Final report of research findings.  
407 Wallace Foundation, Learning from Leadership Project*, K S Louis , K Leithwood , K L Wahlstrom  
408 , S E Anderson . [http://www.cehd.un.edu/carei/Leadership/Learning-from-Leadership\\_Final-Research-Report\\_July-2010.pdf](http://www.cehd.un.edu/carei/Leadership/Learning-from-Leadership_Final-Research-Report_July-2010.pdf) 2010.

410 [Hallinger ()] *Leadership for learning: What we have learned from 30 Years of Empirical Research? Paper  
411 presented at the Hong Kong school principals' conference*. The Hong Kong Institute of Education, P Hallinger  
412 . 2010. Hong Kong.

413 [Leithwood et al. ()] *Leading school turnaround: How successful leaders transform low-performing schools*. CA:  
414 San Francisco, K Leithwood , A Harris , T Strauss . 2010. (Retrieved from www.ibooks.com)

415 [Kusi ()] *Managing junior secondary school in sunyami municipality (Ghana): The challenges for headteachers  
416 and chair professional development needs*. Thesis submitted at the University of Leicester, H Kusi .  
417 <http://Ira.le.ac.uk> 2008.

418 [Mulford ()] B Mulford . <http://research.acer.edu.au/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1000&context=aer> *The Leadership Challenge: Improving Learning in Schools*, 2008.

420 [Creswell ()] *Qualitative inquiry and research design: choosing among five approaches*, J W Creswell . 2003.  
421 Thousand Oaks: CA, Sage. (2nd Ed)

422 [Kumar ()] *Research methodology. A stepby-step Guide for Beginners*, R Kumar . 2005. (2nd ed)

423 [Cohen et al. ()] *Research methods in education*, L Cohen , L Manion , K Morrison . 2007. New York: Routledge-  
424 Falmer.

425 [Pont et al. ()] 'School leadership matters'. B Pont , D Nusche , M Hunter . *Policy and Practice* 2008. OECD. 1  
426 p. .

427 [Rubin ()] 'Social class differences in social integration among students in hig her education: A meta-analysis  
428 and recommendations for future research'. M Rubin . *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education* 2012. 5 (1) p.  
429 22.

430 [Nasseh and Strauss ()] 'Stock returns and domestic and international macroeconomic activity: a cointegration  
431 approach'. A Nasseh , J Strauss . *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* 2000. 40 (2) p. .

432 [Mcguigan ()] *The improbably weak correlation between leadership and efficacy*, L McGuigan . <http://www.leighmcguigan.com/custom.html> 2009.

## 16 CONCLUSIONS

---

434 [Sebastian and Allensworth ()] 'The influence of principal leadership on classroom instruction and student  
435 learning: A Study of mediated pathways to learning'. J Sebastian , E Allensworth . *Educational Administration  
436 Quarterly* 2012. 48 (4) p. .

437 [Berube et al. ()] 'The role of the principal in teacher professional development'. W Berube , J Gaston , J  
438 Stephans . *NOVAtions Journal* 2004. 2004. (1) .

439 [Barnett et al. ()] *What type of school leadership satisfies teachers? A mixed method approach to teachers'  
440 perceptions of satisfaction*, A M Barnett , H W Marsh , R G Craven . [http://www.aare.edu.au/05pap/  
441 bar05419.pdf](http://www.aare.edu.au/05pap/bar05419.pdf) 2003. Sydney: SELF Research Centre, University of Western Sydney.

442 [Boulville ()] *Why cheating is wrong*, M Boulville . [http://arxiv.org./PS\\_cache/arxiv/pdf/0803.  
443 1530v1.pdf](http://arxiv.org./PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/0803.1530v1.pdf) 2008.